

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450' Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/750,830	01/05/2004	Kei Yasuda	2003_1926A	4067
513 7590 07/03/2007 WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 2033 K STREET N. W.		EXAMINER		
		2.1 .	MONIKANG, GEORGE C	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20006-1021	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE		PAPER NUMBER
			2615	
	•			
•		·	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/750,830	YASUDA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	George C. Monikang	2615			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05</u>	January 2004.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow					
closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withden 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and 	rawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ad	ccepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	*	, ,			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	,				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority docume 2. △ Certified copies of the priority docume 3. △ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Ap iority documents have been r eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No. <u>10/750830</u> . eceived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		mmary (PTO-413)			
2)	Paper No(s).	/Mail Date´. ormal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/5/2004</u> .	6)				

Art Unit: 2615

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rosenthal, US Patent 5,528,673, in view of Chou et al, US Patent 6,686,839 B2.

Re Claim 1, Rosenthal discloses an apparatus operating system including at least two apparatuses which provide output of the same type (*col. 3, lines 66 through col. 4, line 3*), and a control server capable of communicating with each apparatus (*fig. 4: I/O ports*), wherein each apparatus includes a communication section for transmitting to the control server a notification signal indicative of a pending change or a change in an output state of the apparatus (*col. 3, lines 56-65*), and wherein the control server includes: a control rule storage section having stored therein a control rule which associates an output state of one apparatus with an output state to be taken by another

Page 3

Art Unit: 2615

apparatus when said one apparatus is in said output state (<u>fig. 4: RAM, PROGRAM MEMORY etc; col. 3, lines 66 through col. 4, line 3</u>); a determination section for receiving the notification signal from any one of said at least two apparatuses (<u>col. 3, lines 56-65; col. 4, lines 10-20</u>), and in response to the notification signal, determining the output state to be taken by an operation target apparatus which is other than an apparatus having transmitted the notification signal (<u>col. 3, lines 56-65; col. 4, lines 10-20</u>), based on the control rule; and an operating section for operating the operation target apparatus so as to transition into the output state determined by the determination section (<u>col. 3, lines 56-65; col. 4, lines 10-20</u>); but fails to disclose a location-related information acquiring section for acquiring location-related information which is set in association with a location of each apparatus. However, Chou et al does (<u>fig. 1: 140; col. 1, lines 35-43</u>).

Taking the combined teachings of Rosenthal and Chou et al as a whole, one skilled in the art would have found it obvious to modify the an apparatus operating system including at least two apparatuses which provide output of the same type (col. 3, lines 66 through col. 4, line 3), and a control server capable of communicating with each apparatus (fig. 4: I/O ports), wherein each apparatus includes a communication section for transmitting to the control server a notification signal indicative of a pending change or a change in an output state of the apparatus (col. 3, lines 56-65), and wherein the control server includes: a control rule storage section having stored therein a control rule which associates an output state of one apparatus with an output state to be taken by another apparatus when said one apparatus is in said output state (fig. 4: RAM; col.

Art Unit: 2615

3, lines 66 through col. 4, line 3); a determination section for receiving the notification signal from any one of said at least two apparatuses (col. 3, lines 56-65; col. 4, lines 10-20), and in response to the notification signal, determining the output state to be taken by an operation target apparatus which is other than an apparatus having transmitted the notification signal (col. 3, lines 56-65; col. 4, lines 10-20), based on the control rule; and an operating section for operating the operation target apparatus so as to transition into the output state determined by the determination section (col. 3, lines 56-65; col. 4, lines 10-20) of Rosenthal with a location-related information acquiring section for acquiring location-related information which is set in association with a location of each apparatus as taught in Chou et al (fig. 1: 140; col. 1, lines 35-43) so that the sound level of an apparatus can automatically be lowered upon the output sound from another apparatus.

Re Claim 2, the combined teachings of Rosenthal and Chou et al disclose the apparatus operating system according to claim 1, wherein each apparatus outputs sound, and the output state corresponds to a level of sound outputted from the apparatus (*Rosenthal, col. 3, lines 56-65; col. 4, lines 10-20*).

Re Claim 3, which further recites, "The apparatus operating system according to claim 1, wherein each apparatus is an air-conditioning and/or heating apparatus, and the output state corresponds to a temperature set by the apparatus." Rosenthal and Chou et al do not explicitly disclose the output state corresponding to a temperature as claimed. Official notice is taken that both the concept and advantages of providing the output state corresponding to a temperature is well known in the art. It would have been

Art Unit: 2615

obvious to modify the system to control temperature since the audio determination can be changed to a temperature determination for the benefit of controlling the temperature in a room when multiple appliances are operating.

Re Claim 4, the combined teachings of Rosenthal and Chou et al disclose the apparatus operating system according to claim 1, wherein the communication section transmits the notification signal when there is a pending increase or an increase of output of the apparatus (col. 3, lines 56-65; col. 4, lines 10-20), wherein the control rule associates the increase of the output of the apparatus with a reduction of output of another apparatus (col. 3, lines 56-65; col. 4, lines 10-20), and wherein the determination section determines the output state of the operation target apparatus so as to reduce output of the operation target apparatus (col. 3, lines 56-65, col. 4, lines 10-20).

Re Claim 5, the combined teachings of Rosenthal and Chou et al disclose the apparatus operating system according to claim 1, wherein the determination section derives from the location-related information a distance between the apparatus having transmitted the notification signal and the operation target apparatus (Chou et al. col. 2. lines 7-15), and if the derived distance is equal to or more than a predetermined distance (Chou et al, col. 2, lines 7-15), the determination section determines not to change the output state of the operation target apparatus (Chou et al, col. 2, lines 7-15: if the microphone is not within the predetermined location, one will not be able to detect the noise location).

Art Unit: 2615

Re Claim 6, the combined teachings of Rosenthal and Chou et al disclose the apparatus operating system according to claim 1, wherein the communication section transmits the notification signal when the user has performed an operation of changing the output state of the apparatus (Rosenthal, col. 3, lines 56-65; col. 4, lines 10-20).

Re Claim 7, the combined teachings of Rosenthal and Chou et al disclose the apparatus operating system according to claim 6, wherein the communication section transmits the notification signal in the case where the output state of the apparatus temporarily changes for a predetermined time period (Rosenthal, col. 3, lines 56-65; col. 4, lines 10-20), wherein the apparatus operating system further includes a state storage section for storing a pre-operation output state of the operation target apparatus (fig. 4: PROGRAM MEMORY), and wherein the operating section operates the operation target apparatus such that the operation target apparatus transitions into the output state determined by the determination section (Rosenthal, col. 3, lines 56-65; col. 4, lines 10-20), and after a lapse of the predetermined time period (Rosenthal, col. 3, lines 56-65; col. 4, lines 10-20), the operating section operates the operation target apparatus such that the operation target apparatus transitions into the output state stored in the state storage section (*Rosenthal, col. 3, lines 56-65; col. 4, lines 10-20*).

Re Claim 8, the combined teachings of Rosenthal and Chou et al disclose the apparatus operating system according to claim 1, wherein the control rule associates an output state to be taken by the apparatus with a condition for operating the apparatus so as to transition into said output state (*Rosenthal, col. 3, lines 56-65; col. 4, lines 10-20*), wherein the control server further includes a determination section which uses the

Art Unit: 2615

location-related information (Chou et al. fig. 1: 140; col. 1, lines 35-43) to determine whether the condition is satisfied (Rosenthal, col. 3, lines 56-65; col. 4, lines 10-20), and wherein the operation executing section operates the operation target apparatus only when the determination section determines that the condition is satisfied (Rosenthal, col. 3, lines 56-65; col. 4, lines 10-20).

Claims 9-11 have been analyzed and rejected according to claim 1.

Contact

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George C. Monikang whose telephone number is 571-270-1190. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F. alt Fri. Off 7:30am-5:00pm (est).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chin Vivian can be reached on 571-272-7848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2615

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

George Monikang

6/21/2007

SUFERVICORY PATERIT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000